Incidence of infusion alarms in NICU and PICU: focus on occlusion alarms
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Introduction
Infusion alarms alert nurses of problems like overpressure or air in the catheter to prevent clinical consequences (bolus release, over-infusion, extravasation, air embolism). Alarms in ICU being frequent and noisy, infusion alarms should be quantified and analysed to prevent unnecessary ones.

Objectives
To determine the incidence and type of infusion alarms and the cause of occlusion alarms in NICU and PICU

Methods
- Prospective analysis of recorded infusion alarms during 90 days (2164h) on smart pumps (Orchestra® workstation base intensive, Modules DPS/MVP, Fresenius Kabi) and volumetric pumps (Volumed µVP7000, Arcomed)
- Extraction of data twice a day using Base Dump and Druglib 224-1 (Eeprom configuration v2.18)
- Standardized form to be filled by ICU nurses to detail occlusion alarms when they occurred

Results

Type of patients?
- 40 patients (18 cardiac, 12 neonates, 10 others)
- Mean weight 11.7 ± 11.4 kg (0.48 to 50)

Incidence and type of alarms?
- 843 infusion alarms recorded over 2164 h
- Estimated incidence: 9.3 infusion alarms per patient per day
- 2.5 occlusion alarms per patient per day

Causes of occlusion alarms?
- Only 50 (18 patients) out of 220 occlusion alarms detailed:
  - Combined causes sometimes present
  - 1 minor clinical consequence observed (take out of injection device due to pain on site)
  - Lumen flushing necessary in 11 cases

Drug incompatibilities
- 12 events in 5 patients:
  - 7 alarms in 40 min with midazolam + fat
  - 2 alarms with frozen plasma + G48%
  - 1 alarm with rifampicin + TPN
  - 1 alarm with flucloxacillin + fat
  - 1 blood + G10%

Direct IV drug injection
- 8% (4) total infusion rate exceeding central venous catheter tolerance (10 ml/h)
  - 4 events in 2 patients with central venous catheter type Deltec 27G (20cm):
    - 2 alarms with 32.2 ml/h (paracetamol + TPN)
    - 1 alarm with 19.2 ml/h (flucloxacillin + TPN)
    - 1 alarm with 20.6 ml/h (rifampicin + TPN)

Conclusion
An incidence of 9.3 infusion alarms (2.5 occlusions) per patient per day was determined. Due to underreporting, occlusion alarms analysis was incomplete. Optimisation of drug infusion could be done to prevent unnecessary alarms consecutive to drug incompatibilities or inadequacy for rate and catheter tolerance.
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